

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/711,353	09/13/2004	Peter C. Williams	22188/06858	5352		
24024	7590 04/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ALTER & GRISWOL OR AVENUE	FULTON, CHRISTOPHER W				
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2859	2859		

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\\.			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commence		10/711,353	3	WILLIAMS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	»			
<del></del>		Christophe		2859				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss			
WHI0 - External after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Digersions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  at, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	farch 2006.						
		action is no	n-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.							
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 30 and 31 is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20,22-29 and 32-40</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 21,41 and 42 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	A.F.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2004</u> is/a		cented or h) object	ted to by the Evamine	ar.			
.0/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	1.			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • •	•	` ,	121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	•	nriarity und	or 25 II S C	(d) or (f)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority und	31 35 U.S.C. 9 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
۵)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have heen	received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents     Certified copies of the priority documents			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		• •		20			
	application from the International Bureau	-		d iii tiiis National Ota(	<b>JC</b>			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	, ,,	d.				
		= = : <b>3.00</b>	.,					
Attachmen								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4	<ol> <li>Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da</li> </ol>					
3) 🛛 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 2/21/06.			atent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/711,353

Art Unit: 2859

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1, 11, 23, 32, 38, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lennon et al in view of Payne.

The device as claimed is substantially disclosed by Lennon et al '373 which shows a standard ferrule connection, but lacks a visual indication that indicates proper connection when a visually perceptible marking is substantially imperceptible.

Payne teaches using a visual indication mark (10) that is imperceptible when proper connection at a joint is achieved. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a visual indication in

Art Unit: 2859

the ferrule connection of Lennon et al '373 as taught by Payne to positively indicate when a proper connection is made by making a indicator mark visually imperceptible.

3. Claims 2-10, 12-20, 22, 24-29, 33-37, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lennon et al '373 in view of Payne as applied to claims 1, 11, 23, 32, 38, and 39 above, and further in view of Petersen.

The device as claimed is disclosed by the combination of Lennon et al '373 and Payne together as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 1, 11, 23, 32, 38, and 39, but lacks the indicator mark being the specific styles claimed, such as color enhanced, to make the mark more visually perceptive.

Petersen teaches using enhancing indicator markings for joints to make the marks more visually perceptive with a visually enhanced feature such as color. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any old and well known enhanced feature, such as color, on the markings of the combination of Lennon et al '373 and Payne together as taught by Petersen to make the marks more visually perceptive.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument that Lennon et al and Payne are different types of connections and that Payne teaches using a positive stop to ensure proper alignment is not persuasive. The type of connection is not persuasive because both connections need to be brought into a desired position with respect to each of the members whether by a rotating or a pushed connection. In addition, the teaching that is being used from the

Art Unit: 2859

Payne reference is the visual conformation 10 of proper alignment between the members which is used to confirm proper alignment since the positive stop cannot be seen during connection of the joint. Furthermore, "witness" marks are common in all types of joints as further confirmed by the additional teaching reference of Petersen.

#### **Conclusion**

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 30 and 31 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 21, 41, and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,353

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2859

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher W. Fulton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

**CWF** 

Christopher W. Fulton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859 Page 5